



BOLETIN LATINOAMERICANO Y DEL CARIBE DE PLANTAS MEDICINALES Y AROMÁTICAS © / ISSN 0717 7917 / www.blacpma.ms-editions.cl

Articulo Original / Original Article

### Anticarcinogenic activity of methanol extract of *Melastoma malabathricum* leaves is attributed to the presence of phenolics compounds and the activation of endogenous antioxidant system

[Actividad anticancerígena del extracto metanólico de hojas de *Melastoma malabathricum* atribuida a la presencia de compuestos fenólicos y a la activación del sistema antioxidante endógeno]

Zainul Amiruddin Zakaria<sup>1,2</sup>, Noorsyaza Eddrina Kamsani<sup>1</sup>, Roro Azizah<sup>2</sup> & Lilis Sulistyorini<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Science, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Health, Faculty of Public Health, Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia

#### Reviewed by: Amina Unis Ras el Khaimah Medical and Health Science University United Arab Emirates

Onder Yumrutas University of Adiyaman Turkey

Correspondence: Zainul Amiruddin ZAKARIA: zaz@upm.edu.my

> Section Biological activity

Received: 8 October 2020 Accepted: 12 December 2020 Accepted corrected: 28 March 2021 Published: 30 January 2022

#### Citation:

Zakaria ZA, Kamsani NE, Azizah R, Sulistyorini L. Anticarcinogenic activity of methanol extract of Melastoma malabathricum leaves is attributed to the presence of phenolics compounds and the activation of endogenous antioxidant system **Bol Latinoam Caribe Plant Med Aromat** 21 (1): 66 - 80 (2022). https://doi.org/10.37360/blacpma.22.21.1.04 **Abstract:** *Melastoma malabathricum* (*M. malabathricum*) extracts have been reported to exert various pharmacological activities including antioxidants, anti-inflammatory and antiproliferative activities. The objective of the present study was to determine the anticarcinogenic activity of its methanol extract (MEMM) against the azoxymethane (AOM)-induced early colon carcinogenesis in rats. Rats were randomly assigned to five groups (n=6) namely normal control, negative control, and treatment (50, 250 or 500 mg/kg of MEMM) groups. Colon tissues were harvested for histopathological analysis and endogenous antioxidant system determination. MEMM was also subjected to HPLC analysis. Findings showed that MEMM significantly (p<0.05) reversed the AOM-induced carcinogenicity by: i) reducing the formation of aberrant crypt foci (ACF) in colon tissues, and; ii) enhancing the endogenous antioxidant activity (catalase, superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase). Moreover, various phenolics has been identified in MEMM. In conclusion, MEMM exerts the *in vivo* anticarcinogenic activity via the activation of endogenous antioxidant system and synergistic action of phenolics.

Keywords: Melastoma malabathricum; Methanol extract; Colon cancer; Azoxymethane; Aberrant crypt foci

**Resumen:** Se ha informado que los extractos de *Melastoma malabathricum* (*M. malabathricum*) ejercen diversas actividades farmacológicas, incluidas actividades antioxidantes, antiinflamatorias y antiproliferativas. El objetivo del presente estudio fue determinar la actividad anticancerígena de su extracto de metanol (MEMM) contra la carcinogénesis de colon temprana inducida por azoximetano (AOM) en ratas. Las ratas se asignaron al azar a cinco grupos (n=6), a saber, los grupos de control normal, control negativo y tratamiento (50, 250 o 500 mg/kg de MEMM). Tejidos de colon fueron recolectados para análisis histopatológico y determinación del sistema antioxidante endógeno. MEMM también se sometió a análisis de HPLC. Los hallazgos mostraron que MEMM invirtió significativamente (p<0.05) la carcinogenicidad inducida por AOM al: i) reducir la formación de focos de criptas aberrantes (ACF) en los tejidos del colon, y; ii) potenciar la actividad antioxidante endógena (catalasa, superóxido dismutasa y glutatión peroxidasa). Además, se han identificado varios fenólicos en MEMM. En conclusión, MEMM ejerce la actividad anticancerígena *in vivo* mediante la activación del sistema antioxidante endógeno y la acción sinérgica de los fenólicos.

Palabras clave: Melastoma malabathricum; Extracto en metanol; Cáncer de colon; Azoximetano; Focos de criptas aberrantes

#### INTRODUCTION

Melastoma malabathricum L. is a shrub commonly found in the tropical climate regions, particularly the Southeast Asian countries including Malaysia. Known as 'Senduduk' to the Malay, М. malabathricum has gained a herbal status in the Malay folklore as well as the Indian, Chinese and Indonesian (Koay, 2008). Traditionally, various parts of *M. malabathricum* has been used in the Malay, Chinese and Indian traditional cultures to treat different types of disorders such as cuts and wounds, sore legs, hemorrhoids, toothache, stomachache, diarrhea, dysentery, flatulence, leucorrhea and infection during confinement (Zakaria et al., 2006).

Scientifically, various parts of М. malabathricum soaked in different solvent systems have been cited to exert various pharmacological activities. With regards to the methanol extract of M. malabathricum leaves (MEMM), pharmacological activities such as antiproliferative, antioxidant and antiulcer have been cited by Joffry et al. (2012). After 2012, several new studies have demonstrated the potential of *M. malabathrium* to exert antioxidant and hepatoprotective (Mamat et al., 2013), antidiabetic, antioxidant and anti-hyperlipidemic (Kumar et al., 2013), chemopreventive (Kooi et al., 2014), anticoagulant (Khoo et al., 2014), gastroprotective (Zakaria et al., 2015), anti-obesity (Karupiah & Ismail, 2015), antinociceptive (Jaios et al., 2016), anti-inflammation (Kumar et al., 2016), and chemomodulatory (Verma et al., 2016).

Various phytoconstituents have been isolated and identified from different extracts of various parts of M. malabathricum (Mohd. Joffry et al., 2012). Focusing only on reports on MEMM, Mohd. Joffry et al. (2012), has reported that the phytochemicals screening revealed the presence of saponins, flavonoids, tannins, triterpenes and steroids. Further analyses using the chromatographic techniques lead to the isolation and identification of ursolic acid, 2asiatic hydroxyursolic acid, acid, 2,5,6trihydroxynaphtoic carbonic acid, methyl-2,5,6trihydroxynaphtalene carbonate. glycerol-1,2dilinolenyl-3-*O*-β-D-galactopyranoside, glycerol 1,2dilinolenyl-3-O-(4,6-di-O-isopropylidene)-B-D-

galactopyranoside, kaempferol-3-O-(2",6"-di-O-ptrans-coumaroyl)- $\beta$ -glucoside, rutin, quercitrin, and quercetin from MEMM whereas  $\beta$ -sitosterol,  $\alpha$ amyrin, uvaol, quercetin, quercitrin, rutin, and sitosterol-3-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside has been identified in the hexane fraction of MEMM (Pongprom *et al.*, 2003). Since *M. malabathricum* leaves, particularly MEMM, possess significant antioxidant and antiinflammatory activities as mentioned above, the plant's leaves/its extract was earlier proposed to also possess a chemopreventive activity based on the well-acknowledged link between oxidative stress, inflammation and carcinogenesis (Valko *et al.*, 2007; Lu, 2007). Interestingly, in our earlier investigation using the *in vitro* model, MEMM was found to exert cytotoxic activity against the HT-29 colon cancer cell line (Kamsani *et al.*, 2019). Taking this finding into consideration, the present study was designed to investigate on the potential of MEMM to attenuate colon carcinogenesis using the *in vivo* azoxymethane (AOM)-induced colon cancer in rat model.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant Sample Collection

*M. malabathricum* leaves were collected between June and July 2013 from its natural habitat near the area of Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia and a voucher specimen (SK 2199/13) has been deposited in the herbarium of the Institute of Bioscience, UPM.

#### Preparation of MEMM

Detailed procedure to prepare MEMM can be found in a report by Kamsani *et al.* (2019). Briefly, the dried leaves powder of *M. malabathricum* (200g) was macerated in methanol (1:20 (w/v)) for 72 hours. The supernatant was then collected and the residue was macerated in methanol again. Overall, the procedure of maceration was repeated three times wherein the supernatant was collected, pooled together, filtered and evaporated to obtain the dried methanol crude extract. At the end of the evaporation process, the amount of crude dried MEMM obtained was 36.6 g and the percentage of yield was approximately 18.3%.

#### In vivo Anticarcinogenic Study

## Animal Management and in vivo Anticarcinogenics study

The animal ethics approval was obtained from the International Animal Care and Use Committee, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, UPM (IACUC Ethical approval no. UPM/FPSK/PADS/BR-UUH/00488). Detail procedures used to handle and acclimatize the animals in the animal units has been described elsewhere (Jaios *et al.*, 2016). Thirty (30) male Sprague-Dawley rats (4 weeks of age; weighed

between 90 g to 150 g) were acclimatized for 2 weeks and then randomly divided into five groups (n=6) wherein Group 1 was intraperitoneally (i.p.) administered with normal saline (Vehicle 1 – used to dissolve AOM (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO)) (normal group) and Group 2-5 were administered (i.p.) with carcinogenic agent (15 mg/kg AOM) (Ghafar *et al.*, 2012). The administration of normal saline or AOM was performer for two consecutive weeks (Week 2 and Week 3). On Week 4, Group 2 was orally (p.o.) treated with 8% Tween 80 (vehicle 2 – used to dissolve MEMM) whereas Group 3, 4 and 5 were treated (p.o) with 50, 250 or 500 mg/kg of MEMM, respectively. The administration of test solutions occurred for 8 weeks starting from Week 5 in the volume of 10 mL/kg of body weight. The body weight and the food intake of all rats were recorded weekly for the whole duration of the experiment. Each group and the respective treatment given are as illustrated in Figure No. 1.





Experimental design of the study. The rats were randomized into five groups. Group 1 was not injected with normal saline, whereas group 2, 4, 5 and 6 were injected with 15 mg/kg AOM for 2 consecutive weeks (Wee k2 and 3) followed by the test solutions administration for 8 weeks starting on Week 5. The experimental groups were as follows: vehicle control (group 1), negative control (group 2), and treatment groups (group 3-5) that received the respective 50, 250 or 500 mg/kg MEMM

#### Colon sample collection

At the end of experimental period (Week 13), animals were anesthetized with ketamine (100 mg/kg; intramuscular (i.m.)) and xylazine (16 mg/kg; i.m.) to allow blood collection via cardiac puncture. Following the blood collection, each rat was euthanized by cervical dislocation and the colon was excised, opened longitudinally before being rinsed with normal saline, and then weighed. The opened colon was then separated into two halves of equal width of which half of the colon was pinned on a board with flat mucosal on the top, fixed in 10% formaldehyde overnight before being stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) to allow histopathological examination and quantification of aberrant crypt foci (ACF). On the other hand, the remaining of the colon tissues were homogenized for antioxidant study.

## Histopathological examination of rats colon tissue and enumeration of ACF

The detail procedures related to tissue sectioning and haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining were described elsewhere (Al-Henhena *et al.*, 2014). Briefly, the fixed tissues were embedded in paraffin wax and sectioned using the rotary microtome to obtain tissue sections of 4  $\mu$ m thickness, which were later stained with using an autostainer. Lastly, slides of stained tissues were examined under a light microscope.

The colon tissues were separated into three portions (proximal, middle and distal) whereby each

#### Zakaria et al.

portion of the colon was examined and the total incidence of ACF was counted. Generally, ACF can be distinguished from normal crypts based on the morphological crypt seen under microscope: (1) darker in stain; (2) the size is enlarged and elongated; (3) thick epithelial lining; and (4) often had oval or slit-like lumen (Md. Nasir *et al.*, 2017). The formed ACF can also be categorized based on their multiplicity per focus: a) small (1-2 crypts per focus); b) medium (3-4 crypts per focus) and c) large (>5 crypts per focus) (Papanikolaou *et al.*, 2000).

#### Hematological and biochemical analysis

The effects of oral administration of MEMM on certain hematological parameters (e.g. hemoglobin (Hb), total red blood cell (RBC) count, packed cell volume (PCV), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), corpuscular hemoglobin concentration mean (MCHC), total white blood cell (WBC) count, neutrophils (Neutro), monocytes (Mono), lymphocytes (Lymp) and eosinophils (Eosin)) and biochemical parameters (e.g. alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), creatinine (Crea), urea and total bilirubin (TBil)) of AOM-treated rats were examined using an automated hematology or chemistry analysers, respectively.

#### Antioxidant study

Once colons have been stored in  $-80^{\circ}$ C, they were then homogenized in 1 mL of cold PBS and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 25 minutes at 4°C. Supernatant was transferred into a new centrifuge tube for the assays.

## Measurement of superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity

SOD assay was performed as described by Superoxide Dismutase Assay Kit. Briefly, 20  $\mu$ L of SOD standard was dissolved in 1.98 mL of Sample Buffer as the stock solution. 200  $\mu$ L of diluted Radical Detector and 10  $\mu$ L of each standard with different final SOD activity (0, 0.005, 0.010, 0.020, 0.030, 0.040 and 0.050 U/mL) and 10  $\mu$ L of samples were pipetted into a 96-well plate. Reactions were initiated by mixing with 20  $\mu$ L of diluted Xanthine Oxidase and incubated on a shaker for 30 minutes at room temperature. Absorbance was measured with ELISA reader at wavelength of 450 nm. Amount of SOD from samples were determined based on graph generated by the SOD standard curve.

#### Determination of catalase (CAT) activity

Catalase assay was performed as described by Catalase Assay Kit. Briefly, 10 µL of Catalase Formaldehyde Standard was dissolved in 9.99 mL of diluted Sample Buffer as the stock solution. 100 µL of diluted Assay Buffer, 30 µL of methanol and 20 µL of each standard with different final concentration (0, 5, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 75 µM formaldehyde), 20 µL of diluted Catalase (Positive Control) and 20 µL of samples were pipetted into a 96-well plate. Reactions were initiated by mixing with 20 µL of diluted Hydrogen Peroxide and incubated on a shaker for 20 minutes at room temperature. Next, 30 µL of Potassium Hydroxide was added to terminate the reactions and followed by 30 µL of Catalase Purpald (Chromogen). Plate was incubated on a shaker for another 10 minutes at room temperature. Finally, 10 µL of Catalase Potassium Periodate was added and incubated again for 5 minutes at room temperature on a shaker. Absorbance was measured with ELISA reader at wavelength of 540 nm. Amount of catalase from samples were determined based on graph generated by the formaldehyde standard curve.

#### Establishment of glutathione (GSH) activity

GSH assay was performed as described by Glutathione Assay Kit. Briefly, GSH standards were prepared by dissolving GSSG Standard in MES Buffer. 50 µL of each standard with different final concentration (0, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 6.0 and 8.0 µM GSSG) and respective equivalent total GSH (0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0, 12.0 and 16.0 µM) were pipetted into a 96-well plate. 50 µL of samples were pipetted into designated sample wells. Assay cocktail was prepared by mixing 11.25 mL of MES Buffer, 0.45 mL of reconstituted Cofactor Mixture, 2.1 mL of reconstituted Enzyme Mixture, 2.3 mL of HPLCgrade water and 0.45 mL of reconstituted DTNB. Next, 150 µL of the freshly prepared Assay Cocktail was added to each well and incubated on a shaker in the dark. Absorbance was measured with ELISA reader at wavelength of 405 nm at 5 minutes interval for 30 minutes. Amount of GSH from samples were determined based on graph generated by the GSSH concentration slope.

## Investigation on the phytoconstituents of MEMM HPLC analysis of MEMM

MEMM phytocontents was analysed using the HPLC procedure according to the detailed method described by Zakaria *et al.* (2015). In the present study, the HPLC profile of MEMM was established at the

wavelength of 366 nm, the range of  $\lambda_{max}$  value of those detected peaks were analysed, and compared to several known standard flavonoids.

## High-resolution UPLC-ESI-HRMS analysis of MEMM

Phytochemicals constituents of MEMM was earlier analysed using the UHPLC-ESI-MS methods and the detailed procedure has been described elsewhere (Kamsani *et al.*, 2019). Several phenolic-based phytoconstituents have been identified and will be discussed in the Results section.

#### Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using Graph Pad Prism version 5.02. Data were expressed as means  $\pm$  Standard Error Mean (SEM) analysed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and differences

between groups were determined using Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Test with p < 0.05 as the limit of significance.

#### RESULTS

#### *Effect of MEMM on body weight and relative organ weight of AOM-induced colon cancer rats*

As shown in Figure No. 2, there was an increase in body weight of rats with increase in experimental period in Group 1 and this observation was also seen in Group 3, Group 4 and Group 5. In comparison. Group 2 (negative control) demonstrated body weight lost starting from Week 7 until the end of the experiment (week 13). Moreover, there were no significant differences in relative organ weight among the treatment groups when compared to Group 1 (Table No. 1).





Body weight of AOM-induced Sprague-Dawley rats for chemopreventive study. Groups: Negative control (injected with normal saline); Positive control (injected with AOM); Vehicle control (treated with Tween 80); Treatments (injected with AOM, treated with 50 mg/kg of MEMM, 250 mg/kg of MEMM and 500 mg/kg of MEMM)

## *Effect of MEMM on hematological and biochemical parameters in AOM-induced colon cancer rats*

As shown in Table No. 2, all hematological parameters of Group 2 showed no significant differences (p<0.05) when compared to Group 1). Treatment with MEMM, at all doses, also demonstrated insignificant changes in those parameters except for creatinine and triglyceride

levels.

In addition, Table No. 3 also demonstrated that the biochemical parameters of Group 2 did not differ significantly against Group 1. In comparison, all doses of MEMM also did not cause any significant changes in biochemical parameters assessed when compared to Group 2.

#### Table No. 1

Relative organ weight of AOM-induced Sprague-Dawley rats for chemopreventive study. Groups: Negative control (injected with normal saline); Positive control (injected with AOM); Vehicle control (treated with Tween 80); Treatments (injected with AOM, treated with 50 mg/kg of MEMM, 250 mg/kg of MEMM and 500 mg/kg of MEMM)

|                  |                 | 200 mg/         | ng of million.  | •)            |                  |                 |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                  |                 |                 | Orga            | ans (g)       |                  |                 |
| Group            | Spleen          | Liver           | Heart           | Kidney        | Lung             | Colon           |
| Negative control | $0.26\pm0.05$   | $2.88\pm0.31$   | $0.44 \pm 0.05$ | $0.80\pm0.08$ | $0.80\pm0.09$    | $0.88\pm0.08$   |
| (normal saline   |                 |                 |                 |               |                  |                 |
| Positive control | $0.32\pm0.02$   | $3.26\pm0.26$   | $0.62\pm0.14$   | $0.92\pm0.12$ | $1.20 \pm 0.07*$ | $1.08\pm0.11$   |
| (AOM)            |                 |                 |                 |               |                  |                 |
| Vehicle control  | $0.24\pm0.04$   | $2.76\pm0.35$   | $0.37\pm0.03$   | $0.74\pm0.06$ | $0.80\pm0.05$    | $0.84\pm0.13$   |
| (Tween 80)       |                 |                 |                 |               |                  |                 |
| AOM + 50 mg/kg   | $0.20\pm0.01$   | $2.83\pm0.10$   | $0.37\pm0.01$   | $0.74\pm0.01$ | $0.97\pm0.26$    | $0.97\pm0.04$   |
| of MEMM          |                 |                 |                 |               |                  |                 |
| AOM + 250 mg/kg  | $0.21\pm0.02$   | $2.99\pm0.09$   | $0.34\pm0.01$   | $0.74\pm0.03$ | $0.89\pm0.04$    | $0.88\pm0.05$   |
| of MEMM          |                 |                 |                 |               |                  |                 |
| AOM + 500 mg/kg  | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ | $2.83 \pm 0.14$ | $0.37 \pm 0.03$ | $0.80\pm0.05$ | $0.80 \pm 0.05$  | $1.14 \pm 0.03$ |
| of MEMM          |                 |                 |                 |               |                  |                 |

Values are expressed as means ± S.E.M

\*Significant different as compared to control, *p*<0.05 \*\*Significant different as compared to control, *p*<0.05

#### Table No. 2

Hematology profiles of chemopreventive study on AOM-induced Sprague-Dawley rats. Groups: Negative control (injected with normal saline); Positive control (injected with AOM); Vehicle control (treated with Tween 80); Treatments (injected with AOM, treated with 50 mg/kg of MEMM, 250 mg/kg of MEMM and 500 mg/kg of MEMM)

| Damanastana          | Nagatina        |                  | Vahtala        |                 | AOM - 250       |                 |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Parameters           | negative        | Positive control | venicie        | AOM + 50        | AOM + 250       | AOM + 500       |
|                      | control         | (AOM)            | control        | mg/kg of        | mg/kg of        | mg/kg of        |
|                      | (Normal saline) |                  | (Tween 80)     | MEMM            | MEMM            | MEMM            |
| <b>RBC</b> (x1012/L) | 8.00 ± 0.52     | 8.32 ± 0.09      | 8.50 ± 0.39    | 8.42 ± 0.16     | 9.50 ± 0.43*    | 8.17 ± 0.33     |
| Hb (g/L)             | 160.25 ± 9.45   | 140.75 ± 1.65    | 157.75 ± 8.85  | 167.75 ± 3.54   | 169.75 ± 9.75   | 147.00 ± 5.23   |
| PCV (L/L)            | 0.39 ± 0.02     | 0.39 ± 0.00      | 0.42 ± 0.02    | $0.42 \pm 0.01$ | $0.41 \pm 0.02$ | 0.39 ± 0.02     |
| MCV (fL)             | 48.31 ± 1.57    | 46.50 ± 0.65     | 49.05 ± 0.65   | 49.65 ± 1.37    | 42.75 ± 1.11**  | 47.00 ± 0.71    |
| MCHC (g/L)           | 416.11 ± 8.65   | 363.00 ± 5.76*   | 378.71 ± 14.08 | 402.23 ± 11.89  | 418.75 ± 12.30  | 383.25 ± 11.21  |
| WBC (x109/L)         | 7.10 ± 0.45     | 7.28 ± 0.84      | 7.45 ± 1.14    | 5.10 ± 0.70     | 9.63 ± 1.45     | 3.69 ± 0.67     |
| Neutro               | $2.30 \pm 0.40$ | 2.21 ± 0.36      | 2.37 ± 0.43    | 1.61 ± 0.33     | 3.45 ± 0.60     | 1.05 ± 0.35     |
| (x109/L)             |                 |                  |                |                 |                 |                 |
| Lymp (x109/L)        | $4.07 \pm 0.10$ | 4.29 ± 0.67      | 4.22 ± 0.62    | 3.12 ± 0.36     | $4.88 \pm 1.11$ | 2.32 ± 0.29     |
| Mono (x109/L)        | $0.42 \pm 0.07$ | 0.38 ± 0.03      | 0.46 ± 0.07    | $0.21 \pm 0.04$ | $0.59 \pm 0.14$ | $0.18 \pm 0.04$ |
| Eosin (x109/L)       | $0.14 \pm 0.07$ | 0.22 ± 0.07      | 0.25 ± 0.04    | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ | 0.42 ± 0.06**   | 0.08 ± 0.03     |
| Thrombo              | 334.25 ± 272.96 | 786.50 ± 158.03  | 700.75 ± 40.56 | 834.75 ± 266.57 | 953.75 ± 162.11 | 83.00 ± 9.98    |
| (x109/L)             |                 |                  |                |                 |                 |                 |
| P. Prot (g/L)        | 85.00 ± 1.00    | 73.00 ± 2.52     | 78.50 ± 2.06   | 77.75 ± 1.80    | 83.00 ± 9.98    | 83.00 ± 2.08    |

Values are expressed as means ± S.E.M

\*Significant different as compared to control, p < 0.05

\*\*Significant different as compared to control, p < 0.05

#### Table No. 3

Biochemical profiles of chemopreventive study on AOM-induced Sprague-Dawley rats. Groups: Negative control (injected with normal saline); Positive control (injected with AOM); Vehicle control (treated with Tween 80); Treatments (injected with AOM, treated with 50 mg/kg of MEMM, 250 mg/kg of MEMM and

|                | 51                 | 00 mg/kg of MEMN   | 1)                  |                       |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Parameters     | Control            | 50 mg/kg of        | 250 mg/kg of        | 500 mg/kg of          |
|                | (Tween 80)         | MEMM               | MEMM                | MEMM                  |
| ALT (U/L)      | $52.58 \pm 4.62$   | $57.65 \pm 10.89$  | $55.88 \pm 5.78$    | $59.08\pm7.10$        |
| ALP (U/L)      | $136.80\pm25.87$   | $151.50\pm15.88$   | $224.80\pm37.50$    | $236.50 \pm 16.83^*$  |
| AST (U/L)      | $170.30 \pm 16.42$ | $235.60\pm38.06$   | $224.10\pm49.70$    | $223.60\pm45.40$      |
| Tbil (umol/L)  | $1.58\pm0.33$      | $0.10 \pm 0.00 **$ | $0.43 \pm 0.33^{*}$ | $0.10 \pm 0.00 ^{**}$ |
| Creat (umol/L) | $61.00\pm2.12$     | $57.75\pm2.60$     | $54.75 \pm 1.80$    | $58.50\pm2.50$        |
| Urea (mmol/L)  | $7.15\pm0.93$      | $7.33 \pm 1.07$    | 5.43 ±0.30          | $5.75\pm0.30$         |

## Anticarcinogenic activity of MEMM against AOM induced Colon Cancer in rats

#### *Effect of MEMM on crypt multiplicity in AOMinduced Colon Cancer Rats*

Photomicrographs of AOM-induced rat colonic mucosa tissue stained with H&E following pretreatment with MEMM is shown in Figure No. 3A-E. The crypt multiplicity can be categorized according to the following category: small (1-2 crypts per focus); medium (3-4 crypts per focus) and large (>5 crypts per focus). Rats in Group 2 (Figure No.

4B) that received (AOM + 8% Tween 80) demonstrated 100% incidence of ACF formation in comparison to Group I (Figure No. 4A), which showed no presence of ACF. Interestingly, MEMM, at the dose of 50, 250 and 500 mg/kg, significantly attenuated ACF formation in comparison to Group 2 as indicated by decrease in the number of ACF formed (Figure No. 4C-E). Crypt multiplicity of 4, 5 and more than 5 were significantly lower in the extract-treated groups.



#### Figure No. 3

#### Effects of MEMM on histological sections of AOM-induced ACF

All sections were cut parallel to the muscular layer. (A) Normal colon mucosa showing circular shape of the crypts and nuclei lining the crypt, basal nuclear polarity and absence of stratification. (B) AOM treated group showed elongated ACF with narrow lumen, marked nuclear atypia (elongated and stratified nucleus), loss of basal nuclear polarity and increases proliferation with decreases in goblet cells (C) 50 mg/kg MEMM + AOM showed elongated ACF and nuclei, slight decreases in goblet cells and nuclei showed slightly nuclear stratification (D) 250 mg/kg MEMM + AOM showed less elongated ACF and non-stratified nuclei, slight decreases in goblet cells and ACF with dysplastic mucosa lining (E) 500 mg/kg MEMM + AOM showed ACF with slightly rounded shape and without stratified nuclei, slightly decreased in number of goblet cells and proliferation of nuclei (H & E stain 100×)



#### Figure No. 4

Cross-section of the rat colon stained with hematoxylin and eosin. (A) Normal group with normal crypts, (B) AOM group (C) 50 mg/kg MEMM-treated group, (D) 250 mg/kg MEMM-treated group, (E) 500 mg/kg MEMM-treated group (100× magnification). Red-coloured arrows indicate the normal colon cells with round nuclei in normal and treated groups while yellow-coloured arrows indicate the ACF cell with elongated nuclei and depletion of mucus in AOM induced group.

## *Effect of MEMM on the incidence of ACF in AOM-induced colon cancer rats*

Table No. 4 showed the incidence of ACF in colons harvested from AOM-induced rats following pretreatment with MEMM. The number of ACF in the proximal, middle and distal part were higher in Group 2, but significantly reduced after pretreatment with MEMM. Moreover, a relatively higher incidence of ACF was observed within the distal colon regardless of the treatment concentrations.

A significantly lower (p < 0.05) incidence of ACF (approximately 51% inhibition) was observed in Group 5 when compared to Group 2 while Group 3 and Group 4 recorded approximately 23 and 29% inhibition, respectively.

## *Effect of MEMM on SOD, CAT and GSH activities in AOM-induced colon cancer rats*

Table No. 5 shows the effect of MEMM on the activities of SOD, CAT and GSH in colon tissue of AOM-treated rats. Group 2 demonstrated a significant (p<0.05) decrease in SOD and GSH activities when compared to the normal control group. Interestingly, pretreatment with MEMM, at all concentrations, reversed the toxic effect of AOM indicated by the increase in SOD and CAT activities when compared against Group 2 whereas only the 250 and 500 mg/kg MEMM significantly (p<0.05) reversed the activity of GSH when compared to Group 2.

#### Phytocontituents of MEMM HPLC profile of MEMM

The HPLC profile of MEMM was established at 366 nm and revealed the present of two major peaks detected at the retention time of 21.047 (Peak 1) and 23.601 (Peak 2) mins (Figure No. 5A). Further analysis on the range of  $\lambda_{max}$  value of both peaks

demonstrated that Peak 1 and Peak 2 have a  $\lambda_{max}$  value ranging between 254.3–357.7 and 255.5–349.4 nm, respectively, suggesting the presence of flavonoid-based phytoconstituents (Figure No. 5B). On the other hand, Figure No. 5C shows comparison made between the chromatogram of MEMM against that of quercitrin and rutin, which revealed the presence of at least quercitrin in the extract.

# Table No. 4 Incidence of ACF in AOM-induced male Sprague-Dawley rats. Groups: Negative control (injected with AOM); Treatments (injected with AOM, treated with 50 mg/kg of MEMM, 250 mg/kg of MEMM and 500 mg/kg of MEMM)

|                       | ACF Count         |                  |                  |                 |  |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| Group                 | Proximal Colon    | Middle<br>Colon  | Distal Colon     | Total           | Reduction<br>compared to<br>Positive Control (%) |
| Negative Control      | $28.00 \pm 1.58$  | $24.75\pm4.44$   | $43.25\pm5.65$   | $96.00\pm11.67$ |  |
| AOM+50 mg/kg of MEMM  | $23.50\pm4.01$    | $15.50\pm0.65$   | $35.25 \pm 1.25$ | $74.25\pm5.91$  | 88   |
| AOM+250 mg/kg of MEMM | $23.00 \pm 1.08$  | $12.25 \pm 1.44$ | $32.50 \pm 1.04$ | $67.75\pm3.56$  | 61   |
| AOM+500 mg/kg of MEMM | $16.50 \pm 2.72*$ | $9.00\pm2.68$    | $21.75\pm1.18*$  | $47.25\pm6.58$  | 37   |

Values are expressed as means ± S.E.M \*Significant different as compared to control, *p*<0.05 \*\*Significant different as compared to control, *p*<0.05

## High-resolution UPLC-ESI-HRMS analysis of MEMM

Based on the phytoconstituents analysis carried out earlier on MEMM using the UHPLC-ESI-MS method, bioactive compounds such as gallocatechin, quercetin-3,4-diglucoside, quercetin, *p*-coumaric acid, procyanidin A, and epigallocatechin have been identified.

#### DISCUSSION

According to Lund *et al.* (2006), various experimental investigations have demonstrated that environmental factors play vital role in most of the cases of colorectal cancer, thus, suggested that this type of cancer is preventable. Later, Halliwell *et al.* (2007), reported on findings that showed the

remarkable potential of naturally occurring phenolics in fruits or vegetables as a combination to prevent cancer cell growth in comparison to a single compound while Lee *et al.* (2017), reported on studies that demonstrated the noteworthy ability of higher intake of fruits or vegetables to protect against colorectal cancer. In support of those reports, Guizani *et al.* (2013), have reported based on the various epidemiological reviews that high intake of antioxidant rich diet may reduce the risk of oxidative stress-related disorders, including cancer. Taking these reports into accounts, the high antioxidant *M. malabathricum* leaves is expected to possess a potential anticancer activity against colorectal cancer, thus, warrant further investigation.

|                                  | Antioxidant Assays   |                      |                       |  |  |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Group —                          | SOD<br>(U/g tissue)  | CAT<br>(U/g tissue)  | GSH<br>(mM/g tissue)  |  |  |
| Negative Control (Normal Saline) | $2.46 \pm 0.06$      | $1.82 \pm 0.00$      | 37.64 ± 1.45          |  |  |
| Positive Control (AOM)           | $0.77\pm0.01^{\#}$   | $0.79\pm0.01^{\#}$   | $21.74 \pm 6.44^{\#}$ |  |  |
| AOM+50 mg/kg of MEMM             | $4.40\pm0.29^{\ast}$ | $0.95\pm0.01^{\ast}$ | $31.25\pm3.84$        |  |  |
| AOM+250 mg/kg of MEMM            | $4.87\pm0.07^{\ast}$ | $1.40\pm0.01^{\ast}$ | $37.10 \pm 0.70^{*}$  |  |  |
| AOM+500 mg/kg of MEMM            | $5.60\pm0.32^{\ast}$ | $1.81\pm0.04^*$      | $45.29 \pm 0.55^{*}$  |  |  |

 Table No. 5

 Antioxidants activities in colon tissues of rats subjected to MEMM treatments

Values are represented as mean ± SEM Values with \* are significantly different as compared to control



HPLC profile of MEMM. (A) HPLC chromatogram of MEMM at 366 nm. (B) The UV spectra analysis of two peaks detected in MEMM using HPLC at 366 nm. Peak 1 and Peak 2 were detected at the retention time of 21.047 and 23.601 mins, respectively. Each peak was detected in the λmax region that range between 254.3 – 357.7 and 255.5 – 349.4 nm, respectively. Based on the recorded λmax value, each of the peak was is suggested to represent flavonoid-based bioactive compounds. (C). The HPLC chromatogram of MEMM shows the presence of quercitrin (1), but not rutin (2,) at 366 nm

The present study is a continuation of the previous report on MEMM, which has been shown earlier to possess anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities (Mamat *et al.*, 2013). The link between oxidation, inflammation and carcinogenesis has been well acknowledged (Valko et al., 2007; Lu, 2007). By-products of oxygen metabolism, such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Abele, 2002), when present in excess can cause damage of cell, tissue and organ functions, which if not overcome can lead to carcinogenesis (Lu, 2007). Thus, it is plausible to suggest that any compounds with antioxidant and/or

potential to attenuate carcinogenesis. Taking this suggestion into account, it is believed that MEMM could be one of the new potential sources of anticarcinogenic agents (Lin *et al.*, 2008; Mendonca *et al.*, 2019). In earlier studies, MEMM was subjected to the subacute and subchronic toxicity, and cytotoxicity studies. The results obtained demonstrate that MEMM did not show any signs of toxicity upon subacute and subchronic oral treatment into rats as indicated by no record of mortality and irregular behavior with no change in body weight as well as

anti-inflammatory activities may also possess a

food and water intake. Moreover, no changes in haematological parameters were also observed in both studies. Furthermore, the in vitro cytotoxic study of MEMM against HT29 colon cancer cell line showed that MEMM exerted a cytotoxic effect against the colon cancer cell line under the inverted microscopes and phase-contrast and further confirmed by the acridine orange/propidium iodide (AOPI) staining (Kamsani et al., 2019). The ability of MEMM to attenuate HT29 cancer cell growth leads to the need to investigate on the potential of MEMM to inhibit the progression of colon cancer in vivo. One of the suitable in vivo colon cancer models is the AOM-induced colon cancer in rats.

AOM-mediated carcinogenesis process includes mutagenicity, initiated by chromosomal damage and initiation of micronuclei cell formation (Yaduvanshi et al., 2012), resulting in colon cells morphological changes indicated by the development of ACF and DNA damage in proliferating cells (Chen & Huang, 2009). According to Chen & Huang (2009) AOM-induced carcinogenesis demonstrated similar pathogenesis to that of normal human sporadic colon cancer in the sense that both types of cancer lead to the development of similar types of lesions called ACF. ACF is early morphological changes observed in rodents after administration of colon-specific such AOM. Following carcinogen as the administration of AOM, carcinogenesis process is mutagenicity mediated through initiated bv chromosomal damage and induction of micronuclei (MN) cells (Bhatia & Kumar, 2013) leading to morphological changes associated with ACF development and DNA damage in proliferating cells (Yaduvanshi et al., 2012). ACF, assumed as preneoplastic lesions comprising of thick, large crypts, are categorized by an increase in the size of the crypts, the epithelial lining and the pericryptal zone, and share many morphological and biochemical characteristics with tumors, including a comparable increase in cell proliferation can be observe in AOMinduced rat's colon tissue sample upon staining with methylene blue (Ochiai et al., 2005).

From the results obtained, the normal colon tissue exerted normal circular crypts with and nuclei lining the crypt, basal nuclear polarity and absence of stratification. On the other hand, the administration of AOM causes morphological changes to the normal crypts leading to the formation of ACF, which can be distinguished by their elliptical shape, large size and dark staining (Rodrigues *et al.*, 2002). Preadministration of MEMM suppresses the number of ACF in a dose-dependent manner when compared to the negative group (AOM-treated) and help to prevent the progression of preneoplastic crypts into malignant neoplasia. This finding suggests that the extract plays a vital role in inhibiting formation of ACF and causing constant and rapid reduction of ACF in MEMM-treated groups. Interestingly, the effectiveness of MEMM as a chemopreventive agent is further supported by its non-toxic effect, which is suggested since the extract did not cause any significant adverse changes in the hematological and biochemical parameters. Most notably, the rats fed with MEMM showed no adverse effects on the food consumption and the animal growth rate (data was not shown).

According to Waly et al. (2014), AOM induced ROS formation in colonic cells, which then induces colon cancer in rats through a mechanism that is interceded by depletion of GSH, a major intracellular antioxidant, and the impairment of total antioxidant capacity in colonic cells of rats. GSH undergoes oxidation to the disulfide form (GSSG) and oxidized form, which scavenges reactive oxygen species (ROS). According to Waly et al. (2011), more than 90% of the total glutathione pool in healthy cells and tissues were in the reduced form (GSH) with less than 10% existed in the disulfide form (GSSG). It has been well acknowledged that a reduced GSH/GSSG ratio indicates oxidative stress, a state under which GSH, antioxidant enzymes (glutathione peroxidase, SOD, and CAT), and dietary antioxidants (vitamin C and E,  $\beta$  carotene and selenium) are not counterbalancing the reactive oxygen species (ROS), and later induce cellular damage by carcinogenic pathogenesis. In the present study. MEMM increased the activities of internal antioxidant (i.e. GSH) and antioxidant enzymes (i.e. SOD and CAT); thus, justify the decreased formation of ACF as described earlier. This observation suggests that the phytochemicals present in MEMM could act directly as antioxidants or indirectly by activating the internal antioxidant system to decrease the ROS level, which was elevated due to the mucosal damage caused by AOM.

AOM administration has been associated with the presence of marked inflammatory effect, suggesting that the AOM-induced oxidative stress is partly mediated by an inflammatory response (Waly *et al.*, 2014). Concurrent with the above suggestion, the inflammatory process is a well-known part of the cell's natural defense against tissue damage and is commonly associated with oxidative stress (Lowes *et* 

al., 2013). Based on the association between AOM action, inflammatory effect and oxidative stress, it is plausible to suggest that the ability of MEMM to reverse the carcinogenic action of AOM, other than due to its action to reduce oxidative stress, could also be due to the extract anti-inflammatory potential. This suggestion is in coexisting with previous reports on the anti-inflammatory activity of M. malabathricum (Zakaria *et al.*, 2006; Ismail Suhaimy *et al.*, 2017).

Oxidative stress triggers carcinogenesis through various mechanisms such as inducing DNA injury, triggering persistent inflammation, and deregulating apoptosis to name a few (Kaur et al., 2018). Other than the anticancer drugs such as tamoxifen, 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin etc., drugs like synthetic non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) have also been identified as potential colorectal cancer chemopreventive agents since expressive alteration of several vital molecules associated with inflammation contributed significantly to the colorectal tumor progression. Nevertheless, most of these synthetic agents are associated with unwanted and sometimes fatal side effects. In the last couple of years, much of the scientific interest has been enticed to the discovery of new, safe and effective chemopreventive agents from natural sources. Plant based chemopreventive agents have been shown either to interrupt or end carcinogenesis by interfering with one or multiple cellular pathways and increasing evidence supports the efficacy of phytoconstituents, such as those with anti-inflammatory activity, to prevent and treated colorectal cancer. The presence of various phenolic compounds such as gallocatechin, quercetin-3,4diglucoside, quercetin, p-coumaric acid, procyanidin A and epigallocatechin in MEMM has been established using the UHPLC-ESI-MS. Some of these compounds, such as quercetin (Gibellini et al., 2011), p-coumaric acid (Sharma et al., 2019), procyanidin A (Lee, 2017), epigallocatechin (Wang et al., 2017) have been shown to exert chemopreventive action in rats. On the other hand, compounds like gallocatechin (Plumb et al., 2002), auercetin-3,4-diglucoside (Zielinska et al., 2008), quercetin (Xu et al., 2019), p-coumaric acid (Shen et al., 2019), procyanidin A (Packer et al., 1999) and epigallocatechin (Nikoo et al., 2018) have also been proven to possess antioxidant activity. Moreover, compounds like gallocatechin (Pérez-Mendoza et al., 2019), quercetin (Li et al., 2016), p-coumaric acid (Zhu et al., 2018), procyanidin A (Bak et al., 2013), epigallocatechin (Khalatbary & Ahmadvand, 2011) have also been reported to possess anti-inflammatory activity with quercetin-3,4-diglucoside (Lopes et al., 2020) reported to show the highest affinity and favorable interaction with the COX-2 structure when assessed using the molecular docking approach indicating its high anti-inflammatory potential. Thus, it is proposed that these compounds work synergistically to contribute towards the observed chemopreventive action of MEMM.

#### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, MEMM exerted chemopreventive activity against AOM-induced colon cancer in rats, possibly via the synergistic action of several chemopreventive-bearing phenolics, which work partly through the activation of antioxidant and antiinflammatory mechanisms.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by Impact Putra Grant (Geran Putra Berimpak) (Reference no.: UPM/800-3/3/1/GPB/2020/9685000) awarded by Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia. The authors thanked Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, UPM, Malaysia, for providing the facilities and supporting this study.

#### REFERENCES

Abd Ghafar SA, Saiful Yazan L, Md Tahir P, Ismail M. 2012. Kenaf seed supercritical fluid extract reduces aberrant crypt foci formation in azoxymethane-induced rats. **Exp Toxicol Pathol** 64: 247 - 251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etp.2010.08.016

Abele D. 2002. Toxic oxygen: the radical life-giver. Nature 420: 27. https://doi.org/10.1038/420027a

- Al-Henhena N, Ying RP, Ismail S, Najm W, Khalifa SA, El-Seedi H, Ameen Abdulla M. 2014. Chemopreventive efficacy of *Andrographis paniculata* on azoxymethane-induced aberrant colon crypt foci in vivo. Plos One 9: e111118. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111118
- Bak MJ, Truong VL, Kang HS, Jun M, Jeong WS. 2013. Anti-inflammatory effect of procyanidins from wild grape (*Vitis amurensis*) seeds in LPS-induced RAW 264.7 cells. **Oxid Med Cell Longev** 2013: 409321.

#### https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/409321

- Bhatia A, Kumar Y. 2013. Cancer cell micronucleus: an update on clinical and diagnostic applications. Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand 121: 569 581. https://doi.org/10.1111/apm.12033
- Chen J, Huang XF. 2009. The signal pathways in azoxymethane-induced colon cancer and preventive implications. Cancer Biol Ther 8: 1313 - 1317. https://doi.org/10.4161/cbt.8.14.8983
- Gibellini L, Pinti M, Nasi M, Montagna JP, De Biasi S, Roat E, Bertoncelli L, Cooper EL, Cossarizza A. 2011. Quercetin and cancer chemoprevention. **Evid Based Complement Altern Med** 2011: 591356. https://doi.org/10.1093/ecam/neq053
- Guizani N, Ibrahim Waly M, Rahman MS. 2013. Nabag (*Zizyphus spina-christi*) extract prevents aberrant crypt foci development in colons of azoxymethane-treated rats by abrogating oxidative stress and inducing apoptosis. Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev 14: 5031 5035. https://doi.org/10.7314/apjcp.2013.14.9.5031
- Halliwell B. 2007. Dietary polyphenols: good, bad, or indifferent for your health? Cardiovasc Res 73: 341 347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardiores.2006.10.004
- Ismail Suhaimy NW, Noor Azmi AK, Mohtarrudin N, Omar MH, Tohid SF, Cheema MS, Teh LK, Salleh MZ, Zakaria ZA. 2017. Semipurified ethyl acetate partition of methanolic extract of *Melastoma malabathricum* leaves exerts gastroprotective activity partly via its antioxidant-antisecretory-anti-inflammatory action and synergistic action of several flavonoid-based compounds. **Oxid Med Cell Longe** 2017: 6542631. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6542631
- Jaios ES, Abdul Rahman S, Ching SM, Abdul Kadir A, Mohd. Desa MN, Zakaria ZA. 2016. Possible mechanisms of antinociception of methanol extract of *Melastoma malabathricum* leaves. **Rev Bras Farmacogn** 26: 586 - 594. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjp.2016.01.011
- Joffry SM, Yob NJ, Rofiee MS, Affandi MM, Suhaili Z, Othman F, Akim AM, Desa MN, Zakaria ZA. 2012. *Melastoma malabathricum* (L.) Smith ethnomedicinal uses, chemical constituents, and pharmacological properties: A review. Evid Based Complement Altern Med 2012: 258434. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/258434
- Kamsani NE, Zakaria ZA, Md Nasir NL, Mohtarrudin N, Mohamad Alitheen NB. 2019. Safety assessment of methanol extract of *Melastoma malabathricum* L. leaves following the subacute and subchronic oral consumptions in rats and its cytotoxic effect against the HT29 cancer cell line. Evid Based Complement Altern Med 2019: 5207958. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5207958
- Karupiah S, Ismail Z. 2015. Anti-obesity effects of *Melastoma malabathricum* var Alba Linn in rats fed with a high-fat diet. AAPS Pharm Sci Tech 16: 548 553. https://doi.org/10.1208/s12249-014-0245-1
- Kaur V, Kumar M, Kumar A, Kaur K, Dhillon VS, Kaur S. 2018. Pharmacotherapeutic potential of phytochemicals: Implications in cancer chemoprevention and future perspectives..Biomed Pharmacother 97: 564 - 586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2017.10.124
- Khalatbary AR, Ahmadvand H. 2011. Anti-inflammatory effect of the epigallocatechin gallate following spinal cord trauma in rat. **Iran Biomed J** 15: 31 37.
- Khoo LT, Abas F, Abdullah JO, Mohd Tohit ER, Hamid M. 2014. Anticoagulant activity of polyphenolicpolysaccharides isolated from *Melastoma malabathricum* L. Evid Based Complement Altern Med 2014: 614273. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/614273
- Koay SS. 2008. Establishment of cell suspension culture of *Melastoma malabathricum* L. for the production of anthocyanin. Thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia.
- Kooi OK, Ling CY, Rodzi R, Othman F, Mohtarrudin N, Suhaili Z, Zakaria ZA. 2014. Chemopreventive activity of methanol extract of *Melastoma malabathricum* leaves in DMBA-induced mouse skin carcinogenesis. Afr J Trad Complement Altern Med 11: 66 - 70. https://doi.org/10.4314/ajtcam.v11i4.11
- Kumar V, Ahmed D, Gupta PS, Anwar F, Mujeeb M. 2013. Anti-diabetic, anti-oxidant and anti-hyperlipidemic activities of *Melastoma malabathricum* Linn. leaves in streptozotocin induced diabetic rats. **BMC** Complement Altern Med 13: 222. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-13-222
- Kumar V, Bhatt PC, Rahman M, Patel DK, Sethi N, Kumar A, Sachan NK, Kaithwas G, Al-Abbasi FA, Anwar F, Verma A. 2016. *Melastoma malabathricum* Linn attenuates complete freund's adjuvant-induced chronic inflammation in Wistar rats via inflammation response. **BMC Complement Altern Med** 16: 510. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-016-1470-9
- Lee Y. 2017. Cancer chemopreventive potential of procyanidin. Toxicol Res 33: 273 282.

#### https://doi.org/10.5487/TR.2017.33.4.273

- Lee J, Shin A, Oh JH, Kim J. 2017. Colors of vegetables and fruits and the risks of colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol 23: 2527 - 2538. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i14.2527
- Li Y, Yao J, Han C, Yang J, Chaudhry MT, Wang S, Liu H, Yin Y. 2016. Quercetin, inflammation and immunity. Nutrients 8: 167. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu8030167
- Lin Y, Shi R, Wang X, Shen HM. 2008. Luteolin, a flavonoid with potential for cancer prevention and therapy. Curr Cancer Drug Targets 8: 634 - 646. https://doi.org/10.2174/156800908786241050
- Lopes A, Vasconcelos CC, Garcia J, Dória Pinheiro MS, Pereira F, Camelo DS, Morais SV, Freitas J, Rocha C, de Sousa Ribeiro MN, do Socorro de Sousa Cartágenes M. 2020. Anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activity of pollen extract collected by *Scaptotrigona affinis postica: in silico, in vitro*, and in vivo studies. **Antioxidants** 9: 103. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox9020103
- Lowes DA, Webster NR, Murphy MP, Galley HF. 2013. Antioxidants that protect mitochondria reduce interleukin-6 and oxidative stress, improve mitochondrial function, and reduce biochemical markers of organ dysfunction in a rat model of acute sepsis. **Br J Anaesth** 110: 472 - 480. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aes577
- Lu F. 2007. Reactive oxygen species in cancer, too much or too little? Med Hypotheses 69: 1293 1298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2007.03.017
- Lund EK. 2006. Dietary fatty acids and colon cancer. Scand J Food Nutr 50: 39 44. https://doi.org/10.3402/fnr.v50i0.1575
- Mamat SS, Kamarolzaman MF, Yahya F, Mahmood ND, Shahril MS, Jakius KF, Mohtarrudin N, Ching SM, Susanti D, Taher M, Zakaria ZA. 2013. Methanol extract of *Melastoma malabathricum* leaves exerted antioxidant and liver protective activity in rats. **BMC Complement Altern Med** 13: 326. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-13-326
- Md Nasir NL, Kamsani NE, Mohtarrudin N, Othman F, Md Tohid SF, Zakaria ZA. 2017. Anticarcinogenic activity of *Muntingia calabura* leaves methanol extract against the azoxymethane-induced colon cancer in rats involved modulation of the colonic antioxidant system partly by flavonoids. **Pharm Biol** 55: 2102 2109. https://doi.org/10.1080/13880209.2017.1371769
- Mendonca P, Darwish AG, Tsolova V, El-Sharkawy I, Soliman KFA. 2019. The anticancer and antioxidant effects of muscadine grape extracts on racially different triple-negative beast cancer cells. Anticancer Res 39: 4043 4053. https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.13560
- Nikoo M, Regenstein JM, Ahmadi Gavlighi H. 2018. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) and its potential to preserve the quality and safety of foods. **Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf** 17: 732 753. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12346
- Nuresti S, Baek SH, Asari A. 2003. Chemical components of Melastoma malabathricum. ACGC Chem Res Commun 16: 28 33.
- Ochiai M, Watanabe M, Nakanishi M, Taguchi A, Sugimura T, Nakagama H. 2005. Differential staining of dysplastic aberrant crypt foci in the colon facilitates prediction of carcinogenic potentials of chemicals in rats. Cancer Lett 220: 67 74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2004.10.026
- Packer L, Rimbach G, Virgili F. 1999. Antioxidant activity and biologic properties of a procyanidin-rich extract from pine (*Pinus maritima*) bark, pycnogenol. Free Rad Biol Med 27: 704 - 724. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0891-5849(99)00090-8
- Papanikolaou A, Wang QS, Papanikolaou D, Whiteley HE, Rosenberg DW. 2000. Sequential and morphological analyses of aberrant crypt foci formation in mice of differing susceptibility to azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis. Carcinogenesis 21: 1567 1572. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/21.8.1567
- Pérez-Mendoza MB, Llorens-Escobar L, Vanegas-Espinoza PE, Cifuentes A, Ibáñez E, Villar-Martínez A. 2019. Chemical characterization of leaves and calli extracts of *Rosmarinus officinalis* by UHPLC-MS. Electrophoresis 41: 1776 - 1783. https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201900152
- Plumb GW, de Pascual-Teresa S, Santos-Buelga C, Rivas-Gonzalo JC, Williamson G. 2002. Antioxidant properties of gallocatechin and prodelphinidins from pomegranate peel. **Redox Rep** 7: 41 46. http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/135100002125000172
- Rodrigues MAM, Silva LAG, Salvadori DMF, Camargo JLV, Montenegro MR. 2002. Aberrant crypt foci and colon cancer: comparison between a short- and medium-term bioassay for colon carcinogenesis using

dimethylhydrazine in Wistar rats. **Braz J Med Biol Res** 35: 351 - 355. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-879X2002000300010

- Sharma SH, Rajamanickam V, Nagarajan S. 2019. Supplementation of p-coumaric acid exhibits chemopreventive effect via induction of Nrf2 in a short-term preclinical model of colon cancer. **Eur J Cancer Prev** 28: 472 482. https://doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.00000000000496
- Shen Y, Song X, Li L, Sun J, Jaiswal Y, Huang J, Liu C, Yang W, Williams L, Zhang H, Guan Y. 2019. Protective effects of p-coumaric acid against oxidant and hyperlipidemia-an *in vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation. **Biomed Pharmacother** 111: 579 587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.12.074
- Valko M, Leibfritz D, Moncol J, Cronin MTD, Mazur M, Telser J. 2007. Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human disease. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 39: 44 - 84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2006.07.001
- Verma A, Bhatt PC, Kaithwas G, Sethi N, Rashid M, Singh Y, Rahman M, Al-Abbasi F, Anwar F, Kumar V. 2016. Chemomodulatory effect *Melastoma malabathricum* Linn against chemically induced renal carcinogenesis rats via attenuation of inflammation, oxidative stress, and early markers of tumor expansion. Inflammopharmacol 24: 233 - 251. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10787-016-0276-1
- Waly MI, Kharbanda KK, Deth RC. 2011. Ethanol lowers glutathione in rat liver and brain and inhibits methionine synthase in a cobalamin-dependent manner. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 35: 277 - 283. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2010.01343.x
- Waly MI, Al-Rawahi AS, Al Riyami M, Al-Kindi MA, Al-Issaei HK, Farooq SA, Al-Alawi A, Rahman MS. 2014. Amelioration of azoxymethane induced-carcinogenesis by reducing oxidative stress in rat colon by natural extracts. BMC Complement Altern Med 14: 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-14-60
- Wang X, Ye T, Chen WJ, Lv Y, Hao Z, Chen J, Zhao JY, Wang HP, Cai YK. 2017. Structural shift of gut microbiota during chemo-preventive effects of epigallocatechin gallate on colorectal carcinogenesis in mice. World J Gastroenterol 23: 8128 - 8139. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i46.8128
- Yaduvanshi SK, Srivastava N, Marotta F, Jain S, Yadav H. 2012. Evaluation of micronuclei induction capacity and mutagenicity of organochlorine and organophosphate pesticides. Drug Metab Lett 6: 187 - 197. https://doi.org/10.2174/1872312811206030006
- Xu D, Hu MJ, Wang YQ, Cui YL. 2019. Antioxidant activities of quercetin and its complexes for medicinal application. Molecules 24: 1123. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24061123
- Zakaria ZA, Raden Mohd Nor RN, Hanan Kumar G, Abdul Ghani ZD, Sulaiman MR, Rathna Devi G, Mat Jais AM, Somchit MN, Fatimah CA. 2006. Antinociceptive, anti-inflammatory and antipyretic properties of *Melastoma malabathricum* leaves aqueous extract in experimental animals. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 84: 1291 - 1299. https://doi.org/10.1139/y06-083
- Zakaria ZA, Balan T, Mamat SS, Mohtarrudin N, Kek TL, Salleh MZ. 2015. Mechanisms of gastroprotection of methanol extract of *Melastoma malabathricum* leaves. BMC Complement Altern Med 15: 135. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-015-0638-z
- Zhu, H., Liang, Q.H., Xiong, X.G., Wang, Y., Zhang, Z.H., Sun, M.J., Lu, X., Wu, D., 2018. Anti-inflammatory effects of *p*-coumaric acid, a natural compound of *Oldenlandia diffusa*, on arthritis model rats. **Evid Based Complement Altern Med** 2018: 5198594. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5198594
- Zielinska D, Wiczkowski W, Piskula MK. 2008. Determination of the relative contribution of quercetin and its glucosides to the antioxidant capacity of onion by cyclic voltammetry and spectrophotometric methods. J Agric Food Chem 56: 3524 3531. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf073521f